


John (Jack) R. Venrick

From: "Jack Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
To: <devvyk@earthlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 2:22 PM
Subject: Re: Response/Military to Pledge Oath to Obama

Devvy -

You are one incredible tiger. Thank you and God Bless you for all the work you do that NO ONE ELSE DOES.

Jack Venrick
Enumclaw, Washington



"Truth is stranger than fiction,
but it is because fiction is obliged
to stick to possibilities; truth isn't."
Mark Twain

----- Original Message -----

From: [Devvy Kidd](#)
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 1:13 PM
Subject: Response/Military to Pledge Oath to Obama

I received two emails. Both said this was not true.

I guess that saves me writing another letter.

The two attachments are responses to my FOIAs for Obama's mother and father from Customs and Immigration. Although the letter for Obama's mother says Stanley Ann Dunham, I made my request for all the variations of names she used.

I have not received a response yet from the Committee on Presidential Debates even though they signed for certified mail. I asked for all documentation submitted by Obama, the DNC or any

11/4/2010

other authorized representative of his to qualify Obama under their rules to prove he is a natural born citizen.

Devy

----- Original Message -----

From: [Devy Kidd](#)

To:

Sent: 1/29/2009 1:19:55 PM

Subject: Follow up/Military to Pledge Oath to Obama

I just called the Pentagon. Specifically asked about speaking to someone in the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

They referred me to some PIO who said they didn't have any comment. Pretty cold. I said I was media. She inquired which. I told her and that pretty much ended that. I suppose if I were Sean Hannity I would have been treated better.

I was also invited to send a letter of inquiry with my question; no, I couldn't get a fax number. I will send a copy of the piece below via snail mail just to see if I do get a response. You never know until you try.

A number of my mailing list are active duty or vets. If you have some sources to contact, maybe you can find out something more.

Devy

----- Original Message -----

From: [Devy Kidd](#)

To:

Sent: 1/29/2009 11:59:58 AM

Subject: Military to Pledge Oath to Obama

I did check. Cartwright is the Vice Chairman of the JCS
http://www.jcs.mil/bios/bio_cartwright.html

Remember what those Kenyan members of their Parliament said, Nov 5, 2008: There is a Kenyan "ruling" over the USA now.

This is unreal.

<http://jumpinginpools.blogspot.com/2009/01/military-to-pledge-oath-to-obama-not.html>

[Military to Pledge Oath To Obama, Not Constitution](#)

Conservative News and Reporting
"News for the Rest of Us"

11/4/2010

Michele Chang

Secretary of Defense Robert Gates is extremely frustrated with orders that the White House is contemplating. According to sources at the Pentagon, including all branches of the armed forces, the Obama Administration may break with a centuries-old tradition.

A spokesman for General James Cartwright, the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, states that the Obama Administration wants to have soldiers and officers pledge a loyalty oath directly to the office of the President, and no longer to the Constitution.

"The oath to the Constitution is as old as the document itself." the spokesman said, "At no time in American history, not even in the Civil War, did the oath change or the subject of the oath differ. It has always been to the Constitution."

The back-and-forth between the White House and the Defense Department was expected as President George W. Bush left office. President Obama has already signed orders to close Guantanamo and to pull combat troops from Iraq. But, this, say many at the Defense Department, goes to far.

"Technically, we can't talk about it before it becomes official policy." the spokesman continued. "However, the Defense Department, including the Secretary, will not take this laying down. Expect a fight from the bureaucracy and the brass."

Sources at the White House had a different point of view. In a circular distributed by White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs, the rationale for the change was made more clear.

"The President feels that the military has been too indoctrinated by the old harbingers of hate: nationalism, racism, and classism. By removing an oath to the American society, the soldiers are less likely to commit atrocities like those at Abu Ghraib."

"We expect a lot of flak over this," ! the clas sified memo continues. "But those that would be most against it are those looking either for attention or control."

The time frame for the changes are unknown. However, it is more likely that the changes will be made around the July 4th holiday, in order to dampen any potential backlash. The difference in the oath will actually only be slight. The main differences will be the new phrasing. It is expected that **the oath to the Constitution will be entirely phased out within two years.**

--
STOP SPP is a non-partisan group of citizens concerned over ...
the creation of the NORTH AMERICAN UNION.
This will dismantle USA as we know it today.
Website: www.StopNAUStopSPP.org

11/4/2010